Page 1 of 3

John (Jack) R. Venrick

Thanks for providing your rationale about and your position on, Agenda 21. However, you may be technically correct that the principles of Agenda 21 may have been created in America well before the Earth Summit in 1992, Agenda 21 core policies have spawned numbers of national and international agencies to implement those policies. Out of Agenda 21 came the Earth Council and the Earth Council Institute, along with the National Council For Sustainable Development and the International Council For Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) we now find influencing almost every city in America with Smart Growth and Sustainable Development policies (ergo Agenda 21). President Clinton created his own Sustainable Development Council out of Agenda 21 policies. And this is only a few of them. The vision of Maurice Strong, who formulated and organized the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro which spawned Agenda 21. as well as many other highly influential people who walk this planet, are determined to implement Agenda 21 polices throughout the Earth by tearing down and regulating consuming countries like America.

Further, many highly credible sources are uncovering just how Agenda 21 policies (*smart growth and sustainable development*) are affecting the freedom and liberty of every American, not to mention American sovereignty itself. To say that condemning Agenda 21 policies is making people less credible, is specious. No matter what led up to Agenda 21, Agenda 21 is the focal point for national and international policies designed to implement "Social Justice" by using propaganda and fabricated crises revolving around the environment and environmental protection, as the leverage to spread the wealth from the producing nations to the non-producing nations, reducing the consumption of the producing nations, with the sole purpose of reducing the world population of humans and their impact on the Earth. Here are some relevant quotes from the instigators and collaborators:

"A massive campaign must be launched to de-develop the United States. De-development means bringing our economic system into line with the realities of ecology and the world resource situation." -Paul Ehrlich, Professor of Population Studies

"Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?" - Maurice Strong, founder of the UN Environment Programme

11/19/2010

"We are close to a time when all of humankind will envision a global agenda that encompasses a kind of Global Marshall Plan to address the causes of poverty and suffering and environmental destruction all over the earth." - Al Gore, Earth in the Balance

"In Nature organic growth proceeds according to a Master Plan, a Blueprint. Such a 'master plan' is missing from the process of growth and development of the world system. Now is the time to draw up a master plan for sustainable growth and world development based on global allocation of all resources and a new global economic system. Ten or twenty years from today it will probably be too late." - Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point

The Agenda of Agenda 21 is clear. Rid the planet of as many humans as possible and regulate the rest by any means so that they can't harm the planet.

I'm not trying to convince you Randall. I'm only stating my position, based on highly credible evidence.

Take care,

Ron Ewart, President NARLO Issaquah, WA USA

----- Original Message -----From: "Randal O'Toole" <<u>rot@ti.org</u>> To: "Ron Ewart" <<u>r.ewart@comcast.net</u>> Sent: Sunday, November 07, 2010 4:03 PM Subject: Re: An inquiry Re: Agenda 21

Hi,

My book, "The Best-Laid Plans," documents that all the ideas behind smart growth long precede Agenda 21 and most of them have American sources. If anything, American smart-growth advocates influenced Agenda 21; Agenda 21 did not influence Americans.

11/19/2010

What I also said at the conference was that if you start talking about Agenda 21, you will be labeled a kook and lose all credibility. Attacking an idea based on who supports it is not a logical argument anyway (it's called an ad hominem attack). Let's stuck with why smart growth makes no sense and not worry about Agenda 21.

Best,

Randal

Cato Institute P. O. Box 76 Camp Sherman, Oregon 97730 541-595-1460 541-460-3644 cell http://ti.org/antiplanner

11/19/2010